

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/757,829	01/14/2004	Seigo Shiraishi	10873.1209USD1	5512
7590 01/19/2006			EXAMINER	
Hamre, Schumann, Mueller & Larson, P.C.			NGUYEN, TAI V	
P.O. Box 2902- Minneapolis, N	***-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,			3729	

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/757,829	SHIRAISHI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tai Van Nguyen	3729	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	_
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 Mi	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the major that the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Poly be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u>	ovember 2005.		
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	;
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 13-17 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-16 is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 13 and 17 is/are rejected.			
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to l	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· -		i).
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No. <u>10/434,516</u> .	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔯 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/22/04,1/14/04</u> .		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The applicants' amendment filed 11/8/2005 has been fully considered and made of record.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moller (US 4,579,658).

As applied to claim 13, Moller discloses a method comprising the step of: (a) filling voids of a porous member (11, Fig. 1) with a fluid filling material (curable liquid substance) whose volume after solidification is not less than a volume of the voids of the porous member, and (b) solidifying the fluid filling material inside of the voids and the surplus fluid filling material at the same time (column 3, lines 43-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/757,829 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moller in view of Hashimoto et al (US 5,327,895).

As applied to claim 17, Moller discloses substantially all of the limitations of the claimed invention except the step filling material with epoxy resin.

However, Hashimoto et al teach filling material with epoxy resin (see column 10, lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at this time the invention was made to have modified the method of Moller by including filling material with epoxy resin, as taught by Hashimoto et al, to positively provide forming a high molecular weight material in the volume space between adjacent member elements (column 3, lines 39-41).

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/8/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants argue that the Moller does not teach "filling voids of a porous member with a fluid filling material whose volume after solidification is not less than a volume of the voids of porous member" (as recited in claim 13, lines 8-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/757,829

Art Unit: 3729

The examiner traverses for at least the following reason:

With respect to the feature of an "acoustic matching member", these limitation are recited in the preamble and have been any patentable weight as the body of the claim can stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Regarding the "fluid filling material ", which was read as the curable liquid substance (at col. 3, lines 43+), the fluid filling material has a volume after solidification that is not less, i. e. greater than, a volume of the void of porous member because the fluid filling material occupies the voids and the top and bottom surfaces of the porous member (see Fig. 1)

Therefore the claims do not distinguish over the reference to Moller.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,829 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai Van Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4567. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 A.M - 4:30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TN.

December 13, 2005

A. DEXTER TUGBANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER